



USING READ180 TO FIDELITY IN MIDDLE AND HIGH SCHOOLS



by
Crysti Lynn Jones



THE PROBLEM

- [DISTRICT] sought to identify methods for improving program effectiveness
- District facilitators felt that teachers were not using the program the way it was intended to be used and wanted to find a way to help improve fidelity.

QUESTIONS

The questions this study seeks to answer are:

- Does teacher fidelity of program implementation vary across study conditions?
- Does fidelity correlate to student growth as measured by gains on the Scholastic Reading Inventory (SRI)?

PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

- Identify methods for helping schools and teachers implement the program as prescribed
- Identify how fidelity and student growth on reading comprehension measures vary amongst three quasi-experimental groupings:
 - (a) packaged implementation of READ180 in the control group,
 - (b) packaged implementation plus completion of fidelity checklists
 - (c) packaged implementation of READ180, fidelity checklists, and weekly coaching in the high fidelity group.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

- READ180 Model
- Program Effectiveness and Implementation
 - Effectiveness
 - The Relationship between Implementation and Student Growth
 - Barriers to Effective Implementation
- Methods for Improving Fidelity
 - Checklists
 - Coaching
- Methods of Data Collection
 - Direct Observation
 - Scholastic Reading Inventory (SRI)

THE MODEL

- 90-min every day
- Intervention for students 2 or more grade levels below in reading
- Rotations
 - 20-min whole group
 - 20-min small group
 - 20-min independent reading
 - 20-min computer rotations
 - 10-min wrap-up
- Class size of 15 to 21

READ180 COMPONENTS

- Scholastic Reading Inventory (SRI)
 - Adaptive computer-based test that helps identify a student's Lexile level
- Scholastic Achievement Manager (SAM)
 - Monitors student progress on Topic Software and SRI assessments
- Anchor DVD to build background knowledge
- Topic Software
 - Reading Zone
 - Word Zone
 - Spelling Zone
 - Success Zone
- Independent Reading
 - 4 levels of high-interest paperback and audiobooks
- rSkills Book
 - Reading Comprehension and Writing Workshops for small group instruction
- Resources for Differentiated Instruction

EFFECTIVENESS OF READ180

- Slavin, Cheung, Groff, and Lake (2008) Meta-analysis of eight studies
 - Population: Middle and High School classrooms
 - Low-performing
 - Minority students
 - Data:
 - SRI growth scores
 - State sponsored standardized test gains
 - Results:
 - Effect sizes vary from: +.01 to +1.58
 - Mean Effect size: +.024
- Found to be moderately effectively
 - Authors hypothesize the variations in implementations may have affected effectiveness

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN IMPLEMENTATION AND GROWTH

- Most research looks at the correlation between SAM data on computer usage and SRI student growth
- Wisconsin Center for Education Research (2009)
 - Researchers found that for every 100 minutes spent on the computer rotation component of READ180, students gained 9.18 SRI points.

- Was unable to find research that attempted to correlated observed implementation and student growth scores

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

- District Level
 - Buy-in¹
 - Unclear guidelines for implementation¹
 - Unclear procedures for monitoring data¹
 - Maintaining Technology^{2,3}
 - Creating placement and exit criteria¹
- School Level
 - Selecting experienced staff^{2,3}
 - Providing Training^{1,2,3}
 - Complying with district guidelines^{1,2,3}
 - Not monitoring implementation and school data¹
- Teacher Level
 - Classroom management^{2,3}
 - Using data to guide instruction^{2,3}

1. Salinger, Moothy, Toplit, Jones, and Rosenthal (2010)
2. Charleston County School District (2006)
3. Damle(2012)

METHODOLOGY

- Population:
 - Planned
 - 12 READ180 Middle and High School teachers
 - Actual
 - 4 teacher (1 middle school, 3 high school)
- Conditions:
 - Planned
 - Business-as-Usual
 - Checklist only (complete a checklist on a weekly basis)
 - Checklist+Coaching (complete weekly checklist and receive weekly coaching)
 - Actual
 - business-as-usual vs. coaching

METHODOLOGY

- Measures & Data Collection:
 - Planned:
 - Materials Inventory
 - Weekly Coaching Checklist
 - Bi-Weekly Observation Data (two observers)
 - Observation Fidelity score
 - SRI growth scores
 - Student Attendance
 - Actual:
 - Materials Inventory
 - Weekly Coaching Checklists
 - Observation Forms (Pre- and Post-treatment)
 - Qualitative report of program implementation

RESULTS

- Barriers to completing this study
 - Getting Started
 - Observation and Data Collection
 - Discussion
 - Conclusion

GETTING STARTED

- Received final study approval at the end of August (4-weeks into school year)
- Relied on district staff to recruit participants
- Received consent from 4 principals (out of 6 consenting teachers)
- Teacher training wasn't provided until the end of Sept.
- One month into study, Coaching Teacher 1 resigned

OBSERVATION AND DATA COLLECTION

- Observations

- Coaching school 1: 14 coaching days, 0 observations
- Coaching school 2: 12 coaching days, 3 observation, 3 feedback
- Business-as-usual 1: 2 observations
- Business-as-usual 2: 2 observations

- Data Collection

- Broad Fidelity scores

	Coaching 1	Coaching 2	Business 1	Business 2
Pre-	(resigned)	22.7%	45.1%	51.7%
Post-	(resigned)	65.5%	12%	57.7%

Table 1 Data Collection							
	Materials Inventory	Coaching visits	Formal Observations	Teacher tracks SAM data	Teacher tracks Quiz reports	Students track Lexiles	Teacher tracks diagnostic reports
Coaching 1	Yes	14	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Coaching 2	Yes	18	3	Inconsistent	Yes, students correct quizzes	No	No
Business-as-Usual 1	Yes	0	2	No	Yes (not observed)	No	No
Business-as-Usual 2	Yes	0	2	Yes	Students complete book projects	Yes (not observed)	No

Table 2 Resources

	All materials	rSkills Student	Missing Core Instructional Materials
Coaching 1	Yes	Every student (72)	All Materials Present
Coaching 2	No	Every student (90+)	READ180 Demo, RDI English Language Learners, READ180 posters, paperback guidebook, audio guidebook, Topic Software guidebook, Implementation Guide, rSkills test, no CD players
Business-as-Usual 1	No	Class set (19)	RDI Writing and English, RDI Language Learners, audio guidebook, Missing 1 title
Business-as-Usual 2	No	Every student (37)	RDI English Language Learners

Table 3 Training

	Years teaching	Years teaching READ180	Days of training	Type of training	Study coaching days	Total Scholastic coaching days	Teacher identified training needs
Coaching 1	20	0	3	Overview of program and data usage	14	1	Track and organize student work, track and organize teacher materials, organize and create rotation procedures, small group differentiation, using data, creating a technology “B” plan
Coaching 2	0	0	2	Overview of program and data usage	18	1	Tracking and organizing student work, track and organize teacher materials, organize and create rotation procedures, small group differentiation, using data, creating a technology “B” plan
Business-as-Usual 1	5	2	2	Previous teacher	0	4	None
Business-as-Usual 2	15	1	3	Overview of program and data usage	0	1	Using data

Table 4 Student Placement							
	Initial SRI	Placed students	Data used to place students	Appropriately placed?	If no, explain	Students in class	Students per group
Coaching 1	11/4, 11/6, 11/13	Teacher and research assistant	SRI, DRA scores, teacher referral	Yes	7th grade teachers did not want to have students taken from classroom	18	6
Coaching 2	9/20, 9/23	School counselor	Unknown	No	Some proficient, some below 100 Lexile, students with behavior problems all in the same period	7, 15, & 24	3 to 8
Business-as-Usual 1	Unknown	School counselor	Unknown	Unknown	We were not able to access teacher data	11, 14, & 20	5
Business-as-Usual 2	8/08, 8/16, 8/20, 9/10, 9/20	Administration and teacher	SRI, TCAP scores, teacher referral	Yes	Teacher removed all students who score proficient	15	6 to 7

Table 5 Infrastructure

	Dedicated classroom	# of rotation areas	Min.	Used for intervention	Sufficient furniture	Students can see whiteboard	Teacher can see students	Comfortable independent reading area
Coaching 1	Yes	3	50-min to 60-min every other day	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Coaching 2	No, old science classroom	2	90-min every other day	Yes	No table for small group, not enough chairs for computer station	Yes	Yes	Yes
Business-as-Usual 1	Yes	2	90-min every other day	Yes	Yes	No (backs to the whiteboard)	Yes	No, at desks
Business-as-Usual 2	Yes	2	90-min every other day	Yes	Yes	Yes	No (not without turning)	No, at desks

Table 6 Classroom Management									
	Expecta- tions	Consequenc es	Rotation Procedure posted	Practiced Procedure	Motiva- tion board	Student work organized	Checks student work	Students know Lexiles	Technology plan "b"
Coaching 1	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/A	No	No
Coaching 2	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Business- as-Usual 1	Yes	Yes	No	Yes (not observed)	Yes (not used)	Yes	Daily (not observed)	No	No
Business- as-Usual 2	Yes	Yes	No	Yes (not observed)	Yes (not used)	Yes	Weekly (not observed)	No	Yes

Table 7 Instruction										
	Uses reading logs	Checks reading logs	Student corrects work	Uses Exit Slips	Uses Quick writes	Uses Red Strategies	Displays objective	Differentiate instruction	Conducts student conference	Wrap-Up
Coaching 1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Coaching 2	Yes	Inconsistent	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No consistent procedure	Yes, not consistent
Business-as-Usual 1	Not observed	Yes Teacher self-reported	No	No	No	No	No	No	No, scan daily Teacher self-reported	No
Business-as-Usual 2	Not observed	Yes Teacher self-reported	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Informal, biweekly; formal quarterly Teacher self-reported	Yes, not consistent

DISCUSSION

- District level
 - Buy-in
 - Clear protocols for implementation
 - District oversight of data
 - Summer training and ongoing support
 - Placement and Exit Criteria
 - Tech support
- School level
 - Materials inventory and purchase
 - Timetable that supports prescribed
 - Ongoing coaching and feedback
 - Monitoring data and implementation
- Teacher level
 - Monitor and use data to guide instruction
 - Follow district guidelines for implementation

CONCLUSION

- READ180 is not being implemented the way it was intended for a multitude of reasons. In order to help guide implementation, a handbook was created.
- District Changes:

Through our work with district literacy and data administrations, the district has decided to:

- District selected a universal reading screener to help place students
- District is using READ180 as the primary intervention across all middle and high schools
- District will be purchasing a newer model of READ180 for classroom use (so materials will be updated)
- District will be implementing mandatory training
- District oversight will be attempted